

ASTIR TM 39		
PAGE	 OF_	
DATE		

## Apollo 17

LEAM Temperature Slope Anomaly

Prepared by

D. Perkins

Approved by

D. Fithian



Apollo 17 LEAM
Temperature Slope Anomaly

TM	- •					
PAGE .	1_		OF.		3	
DATE	20	Αι	ıgus	st	7	

### SUMMARY:

The LEAM temperature profile, during the 8th lunation of Apollo 17 Alsep, indicated an apparent unusually rapid rise in temperature from 176°F. to 179 F. This rise was reported as an anomaly on ASTIR 39.

This memo indicates that there was no anomolous behaviour in the experiment and that the effect is due to the digitization of the telemetry data.

### INTRODUCTION:

The LEAM experiment temperature profile has been monitored closely throughout the Apollo 17 mission because the originally predicted temperatures were exceeded. The present mission plan is to maintain operation of the experiment up to a certain maximum temperature and to set the experiment to Standby if the maximum temperature is exceeded. The maximum temperature is increased by 5°F at each lunation. The allowed maximum temperature during the eighth lunation was 180°F. The anomaly occurred just prior to turn off at 179°F, while the previous temperature reading an hour earlier was 176°F. This increase was considered unusually high. Table 1 gives the temperature readings as presented with the anomaly report.

### ANALYSIS:

The temperatures were plotted and compared with the expected curve which was derived during the LEAM Anomaly investigation. The expected curve was plotted using the simplified math model and is presented in Bendix ATM 1120, 22 March 1973. The temperature profile, including the point in question, agreed very closely with the predicted curve.

The temperature readings were then analyzed in relation to the telemetry digitization process. The temperature was recorded as 176.0°F from 13.30 GMT until 16.00 GMT., as 177.5°F at 16.52 GMT and 179.0°F at 17.00 GMT. The resolution of the A/D converter system inherently means that the temperature can only be stated to be between the switching points for the particular step, i.e. for octal count 327 we can only specify the temperature to be between 176 and 177.5°F.o The data indicates that the step change



# Apollo 17 LEAM Temperature Slope Anomaly

AS II TM	•	
PAGE	2	_ OF
DATE	20 A	ugust '

to 179°F occurred between 16.52 and 17.00 GMT and it is reasonable to assume that the temperature was on the point of switching to 177.5°F at 16.00 GMT. In addition, because of slight inaccuracies in the computer curve fit of the temperature sensor calibration curve, the true temperatures at 16.00 and 17.00 GMT, were 177.8°F and 178.3°F respectively. This gives a temperature rate of change of 1/2°F per hour, which is very reasonable.

#### CONCLUSION:

The conclusion resulting from the analysis is that there is no anomaly in the LEAM temperature profile for the eighth lunation. This fact is borne out by the data for the ninth lunation which shows no deviation from the predicted curve.

The apparent anomaly was caused by the inherent inaccuracy of resolution of the conversion system, which does not allow for critical analysis of a step change of one bit, together with inaccuracies of calibration curve simulation.



## Apollo 17 LEAM Temperature Slope Anomaly

ASTI TM 3	-		
PAGE _	3	_ OF _	3
DATE			

### TABLE I

$\overline{\bigcap}$	Date	Time (GMT)	Octal	Temp °F
	7/7	1600	307	158.7
		1700	310	159.8
		1800	312	162.0
		1900	312	162.0
		2000	313	163.0
		2100	314	164.1
	7/8	0740	324	172.8
		0840	324	172.8
		0940	325	173.8
		1040	325	. 173.8
		1100	326	174.9
•		1130	326	174.9
	• .	1230	326	174.9
		1330	327	176.0
		1430	327	176.0
- The second of		1600	327	176.0
		1700	331	179.0
		1800	331	179.0 OFF
ļ		1900	326	174.9
		2000	324	172.8

Number	Originated by:		Reference Doc Apollo 17 SMEAR	100
39	Organization Bendix		ALSEP 54	
/ate				
8-1-73	Name R. Miley			
Short Title:	LEAM Temperature Operation	<b>n</b>		•
Study/Task	Description:			
Apol the	llo 17 SMEAR, ALSEP 54 indi LEAM above 176°F (see atta	cates a concern by	y FOD about oper	ati
Eva.l	luate the viability of the	above temperature	question.	
		•		
Scheduled C	ompletion Date	Output(s)		
<b>-</b>	X Final 6 Aug		Mama	
		· recuircar		
Authorizatio				
		BENDIX		
NASA				
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
<del></del>	supplementary sheets as	necessary):		
Results (use				
Results (use	Date Approvals		Distribution;	
Results (use	Date Approvals		Distribution; P. D. Gerke W. Eichelman	W

USE BLACK BALLPOINT PEN	SPAN/MISSION EVALUATION A	CTION REQUEST BALLPOINT	
TIME (T-MINUS/GE		E CONTROL NUMBER APOLLO 17	3
July 31, 19		ALSET JA	
ACTION REOD	BY (TIME): ASAP	REQUESTER R. KEELY	
SUBJECT:	LEDM OPERATION	APPROVA	<u>-</u> ]
LUMATICS  PROFILE  A TEMPER  LUSSEAS	PICKED UP AN INCREASE I RETURE OF 176°F. WE FREL IE MAY BE DUE TO AN	A PERATURE (ASII)  IN RATE (4) AT TIME :  THAT THIS SPAN MGR  INCREASE IN	
and the state of t	DEAN OF A TEMPERATURE		긔 3
	WICS COMPONENT. DUE TO	THE UNIGETAINTY OF OUE 176" WE FEEL	
THAT TH	HE INSTRUMENT SHOULD NOT	RE OPERATED ABOVE	4
$\frac{7745}{746}$		<u>S MONITORED IN REAL-</u> L AUGUST G HAS	-
LASTAL EL	STENDED 4 HOURS TO OBS	ERVE THIS PHENOMENOM	
	<u>uest an analysis. Be peri</u> us <u>temperature increase</u>		-
Control of the state of the sta	(CONTINUED)	<b>,</b>	
A RESPONSE:		CONCUR	_] 3
		TEAM LDR	
		* TIME 1	
		CON SR REP	
The second secon			
		TIME	
*		TIME : ME MGR	
4		ME MGR	3
.4		ME MGR	3
4		ME MGR TIME : SPAN MGR	3
4		ME MGR TIME : SPAN MGR	3
4		ME MGR TIME : SPAN MGR	3
		ME MGR TIME : SPAN MGR	3
RESHONDER FOD REP	CONTR SR. REP/S&AD MGR	ME MGR TIME : SPAN MGR	3
RESPONDER		ME.MGR TIME: SPAN.MGR TIME:	3

(T-MINUS)	(GET) REQUEST ORGANIZA		RESPONSE DRGANIZATIO	CONTROL NUMBER APOLLO 17 ALSEP 54
ACTION RE	EQD BY (TIME):		REQL	JESTER
SUBJECT	Lean Oper	ations (c	onthuzd)	APPROVA
THE LOU FOR	Falowing Gi Ut and temp AJ-11:			
	TIME		TEMP	
	7 744 /1600	307	15@.7	TIME :
	17eg	310	159.8	
	1800	312.	168.0	
	19 00	<u> 312</u>	162.0	
•	<u> </u>	313	163.0	
	2100	314	164.1	
	83014/0740	324	177.8	
	6840	2.2.4.	172.9	
RESPONSE	: 094ú	37.5	3.871	CONGUR
	1040		173.5	TEAM LDR
	1100	A STATE OF THE STA	174.9	
	1130		<u> 174.9</u>	TIME ;
	1230 1330		<u>174.9</u> 176.0	
	1430		176.0	
	1600		176.0	
	1700	<u>. 331 -</u>		
	<u>IRoc</u>		179.0	
			1946	
	make the state of the second state of the control of the state of the	Maria Ma	The state of the s	
DES PONDS	# C 190 200	ee 0 316	176.9	SPAN MGR TIME :
ESPONDE FOD REP		TR SR. REP/S		SPAN MANAGER