



Developing an Aerial Transport Infrastructure for Lunar Exploration

David L. Akin

Space Systems Laboratory

University of Maryland,
College Park



UNIVERSITY OF
MARYLAND

Space Systems Laboratory



Problem Statement


- Lunar surface area is approximately equivalent to North and South America
- Developing an accurate and detailed understanding of the lunar surface requires
 - access to many locations
 - access to difficult terrains
 - ability to examine the surface at a variety of scales
- Challenges are exacerbated by program focus on a single outpost
- Need to have routine, rapid access to (effectively) entire lunar surface



Notional Mapping of Rover Performance



Antarctica as Analogue




Lunar Flying Vehicle

- Adjunct to Constellation program for extended exploration
- Support exploration beyond maximum range of outpost-based rover
- Provide access to sites inaccessible with rover (e.g., crater floors, mountain tops, rilles)
- Potential to carry on long-range rover for emergency quick return
- Utilize propellants available on site
 - Residual propellants on landing vehicles
 - In situ propellant production (ice or regolith)



Apollo Lunar Flying Vehicle Concept




from "Study of One-Man Lunar Flying Vehicle - Final Report Volume 1: Summary" North American Rockwell, NASA CR-101922, August 1969



UNIVERSITY OF
MARYLAND



Notional Concept of LRV Sortie



SELECTION CRITERIA
PROPELLANT REQUIRED
PILOT DEMANDS
TARGET VISIBILITY

from "Study of One-Man Lunar Flying Vehicle - Final Report Volume 2: Mission Analysis"
North American Rockwell, NASA CR-101922, August 1969




UNIVERSITY OF
MARYLAND

Space Systems Laboratory



Ballistic Hop (Airless Flat Planet)



After some analysis, can show that optimum $\Delta V = 2\sqrt{dg}$


$$h_{peak} = \frac{d}{4}$$

$$g = \frac{\mu}{r^2} \Rightarrow \Delta V = 2\frac{\sqrt{\mu d}}{r} = 2\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{r}}\sqrt{\frac{d}{r}} = 2V_c\sqrt{\frac{d}{r}}$$

$$\Delta \chi \equiv \frac{V}{V_c} = 2\sqrt{\frac{d}{r}}$$



Propulsive Glide (Airless Flat Planet)



Assume horizontal velocity is V

$$\Delta V_h = 2V$$

(includes acceleration and deceleration)

$$t_{flt} = d/V \quad \Delta V_v = gt_{flt} = \frac{gd}{V}$$

Total ΔV becomes


$$\Delta V_{total} = \Delta V_v + \Delta V_h = 2V + \frac{gd}{V}$$

which with some algebra turns out to be

$$\Delta V_{total} = 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{gd}$$



Delta-V for Hopping and Gliding




Ballistic Hop (Spherical Planet)

Basic orbital mechanics produces


$$e_{opt} = \frac{1 - \sin \theta}{\cos \theta}$$

$$a_{opt} = r \left(\frac{\sin \theta}{1 - e_{opt}^2} \right)$$

$$\Delta V = 2 \sqrt{\mu \left(\frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{a} \right)}$$



Propulsive Glide (Airless Round Planet)



Assume horizontal velocity is V

$$\Delta V_h = 2V$$

(includes acceleration and deceleration)


$$t_{flt} = d/V \quad \Delta V_v = \left(g - \frac{V^2}{r} \right) t_{flt} = \frac{gd}{V} - \frac{dV}{r}$$

Total ΔV becomes

$$\Delta V_{total} = 2\sqrt{2 - \frac{d}{r}} \sqrt{gd}$$



Hopping on Flat and Round Bodies



Hopping Between Different Altitudes

Relative to starting point, landing elevation $\equiv h_2$

Nondimensional forms $\nu \equiv \frac{v}{\sqrt{dg}}$; $\eta \equiv \frac{h_{peak}}{d}$; $\lambda \equiv \frac{h_2}{d}$

$$\Delta\nu = \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\eta} + \sqrt{2(\eta - \lambda)}}\right)^2 + 2\eta} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\eta} + \sqrt{2(\eta - \lambda)}}\right)^2 + 2(\eta - \lambda)}$$



Peak altitude η must be optimized numerically

Setting $\lambda = 0$ to check case of level hop,

$$\Delta\nu = 2\sqrt{\frac{1}{8\eta} + 2\eta} \Rightarrow \eta_{opt} = \frac{1}{4}$$




Trajectory Design for Altitude Change



Apollo 15 Revisited: LFV Sortie

Basic assumptions


- Vehicle inert mass=300 kg
- Crew mass=150 kg
- Science package=100 kg
- Total propellant=130 kg



Apollo 15 Revisited: Leg 1

Base camp to bottom of rille


- Distance 3 km
- Altitude change -150 m
- $\Delta V = 139$ m/sec
- Propellant used = 22 kg
- Collect 20 kg of samples at landing site



Apollo 15 Revisited: Leg 2

Propulsive glide along bottom of rille


- Distance 2 km
- No net altitude change
- $\Delta V = 160$ m/sec
- Propellant used = 25 kg
- Collect 20 kg of samples at landing site; leave 25 kg science package



Apollo 15 Revisited: Leg 3

Hop to top of mountain


- Distance 15 km
- Altitude change 1600 m
- $\Delta V = 310$ m/sec
- Propellant used = 46 kg
- Collect 30 kg of samples at landing site; leave 50 kg science package



Apollo 15 Revisited: Leg 4

Return to base

- Distance 12 km
- Altitude change -1450 m
- $\Delta V = 278$ m/sec
- Propellant used = 37 kg
- Return with 25 kg of science equipment and 70 kg of samples
- Total propellant used 130 kg



Apollo 15 Revisited: Discussion

- Current minimum estimates are for 400 kg of residual propellants in Altair at landing - would support three equivalent sorties
- Presence of water ice or ISRU propellant production at outpost would easily support moderate flier mission requirements
- Challenges in routine refueling of cryogenic propellants on the lunar surface, reliable flight and landing control system



LFV System Safety

- Due to the nature of targeted landing sites, the only feasible rescue vehicle for a flier is another flier
- Rescue options:
 - Single person flier capable of carrying two for rescue
 - Two person flier capable of being flown single-handed and carrying three for rescue
- Additional flexibility and propellant savings for single person fliers, but safety implications of single-person remote EVAs
- Might need extended-stay capability to allow time to mount rescue mission (e.g., inflatable shelter?)



Lunar Flying Vehicles - Conclusions

- Rocket-propelled fliers provide rapid access to otherwise inaccessible terrain
- Forty years of technology development since Apollo will greatly improve reliability and safety and reduce crew workload
- Initial operations are feasible with residual Altair lander propellants; longer-range or more frequent sorties require dedicated propellant supplies
- Detailed design and analysis project currently underway at University of Maryland - completed by June 2009

