Planetary Science R&A Program Update

Jonathan Rall

47th LPSC

2016 Mar 21

PSD R&A ROSES 16 Deadlines

Program Name	Step-1 Due Date	Step-2 Due Date
Exoplanets (XRP)	03/29/2016	05/26/2016
Emerging Worlds (EW)	03/31/2016	06/03/2016
Cassini Data Analysis (CDAPS)	04/06/2016	06/06/2016
Solar System Obs. (SSO)	04/08/2016	06/10/2016
MatISSE	04/21/2016	06/21/2016
Laboratory Analysis of Returned Sample (LARS)	04/22/2016	06/24/2016
Planetary Data Archiving, Resto, Tools (PDART)	05/13/2016	07/15/2016
Exobiology (EXOB)	05/20/2016	07/22/2016
Planetary Protection Research (PPR)	06/24/2016	09/02/2016
Planetary Sci./Tech. Through Analog Research (PSTAR)	07/22/2016	09/23/2016
Mars Data Analysis (MDAP)	08/26/2016	09/30/2016
Lunar Data Analysis (LDAP)	09/30/2016	10/28/2016

PICASSO

Discovery Data Analysis (DDAP)

Solar System Workings (SSW)

Habitable Worlds (HW)

09/14/2016

09/08/2016

11/18/2016

11/17/2016

11/14/2016

11/17/2016

01/20/2017


02/23/2017

Timeline for SSW 15 & 16


Single Step 1 per year

Two Step 2 deadlines for SSW 2015

One Step 2 deadline for SSW 2016+



Calendar Crunch: ROSES Step-2 Deadlines




Change in Proposal Numbers

Program	ROSES 2014 Step-2 Submissions	ROSES 2015 Step-2 Submissions	% Change
EW	158	137	-13
SSW	384	315	-18
EXOB	144	179	+24
SSO	71	51*	-28
PDART	100	97	-3
CDAPS	78	84	+8
DDAP	27	39	+44
LARS	24	18	-25
XRP	134	112	-16
MDAP	104	100	-4
LDAP	51	47	-8
PSTAR	46	48	+4
HW	72	63	-13
MatISSE	44	Not solicited	
PICASSO	96	113	+18
Total	1533	1403	-15


^{*}NEOO proposals not solicited in 2015.

A Selection Metric




Shown are proposals submitted to ROSES-2014, including all core programs (EW, SSW, HW, SSO, EXO) and all DAPs (MDAP, DDAP, LDAP, CDAPS).

Where's my money?



The speed of money



Facilities

Objective

Ensure that NASA-funded, science-enabling research facilities support the needs of PSD R&A community

Current PSD Funded Facilities

Summarize Lessons Learned from PSD-Funded Facilities

Community Needs Assessment

- Assess Community Needs through RFI
- Identify Existing Facilities via LPSC session (PSD funded or not)

Future Plans for Support of Facilities

- Release a CAN to fund facilities that would answer the needs of the community
- Estimated release date in calendar year 2016

Current PSD Funded Facilities – Lessons Learned

- Progress Report from all PSD Funded Facilities:
 - ✓ Planetary Aeolian Lab (PAL) October 12, 2015
 - ✓ Reflectance Lab (RELAB), October 14, 2015
 - ✓ Glenn Extreme Environments Rig (GEER), November 16, 2015
 - ✓ Ames Vertical Gun Range (AVGR), November 16, 2015
- ➤ Each Facility had ~1 hour to present and ~1 hour Q&A
- Presentation to the Panel:
 - ✓ Current Objectives and Accomplishments
 - ✓ Impact on Missions, Planetary Science, and Planetary Science Community
 - ✓ Management Plan
 - ✓ Unique Distinguishing Features
 - ✓ Usage of the Facilities (hours, groups..)
 - ✓ Lessons Learned
 - ✓ Publications List.
- > Panel Composed of community members and users
- Panel provided a summary with lessons learned, to PSD management
- > Report: PSD Facilities' Website: http://www.lpi.usra.edu/psd-facilities/

RFI – Communities Needs Assessment

- - Released: January 28, 2016
 - Responses Due: April 30, 2016
 - PDF file format, attached to an E-mail, sent to <u>Doris.Daou@nasa.gov</u>
 - Email Subject Line: <u>RESPONSE to Facilities RFI</u>
- ♦ RFI solicits community feedback on any or all of the following questions:
- 1. Do you use any existing planetary science facility that serves the broader community? What extent? How did you find out about it? Describe your experiences in using that facility.
- 2. What capabilities are missing or unavailable in the implementation of your research activities that could be supported through the Facilities Program? Are you aware of existing facilities that could meet your needs if they were made available to the community?
- 3. Do you currently manage, or plan to develop, a facility that could serve the broader community? Describe the facility and what needs it would fill.

LPSC Session – Identify Existing Facilities

Thursday afternoon, March 24, 1:30 p.m., Waterway Ballroom 4

- ♦ 13 oral presentations and 49 poster
- ♦ Five invited talks
 - ✓ The NASA Regional Planetary Image Facility Network: A Globally Distributed Resource For The Planetary Science Community
 - ✓ The NASA Reflectance Experiment Laboratory (RELAB) Facility: Past, Present, And Future
 - ✓ NASA Facility Overview: Planetary Aeolian Laboratory
 - ✓ Glenn Extreme Environments Rig (GEER) For Planetary Science
 - ✓ The Ames Vertical Gun Range




www.lpi.usra.edu/psd-facilities/

NASA's Planetary Science Division Facilities

www.lpi.usra.edu/psd-facilities/

NASA's Planetary Science Division Facilities



HOME

Facilities RFI

2016 LPSC Special Session

Documentations and Presentations

FAQ

CURRENT PSD R&A FUNDED FACILITIES

NASA Ames Planetary Aeolian Facility (PAL)

NASA Ames Vertical Gun Range (AVGR)

NASA Glenn Extreme Environments Rig (GEER)

Reflectance Experiment Laboratory (RELAB)

NASA'S PLANETARY SCIENCE DIVISION FACILITIES

NASA's Planetary Science Division (PSD) is evolving how it deals with funded facilities. As part of this activity, NASA is working to identify current facilities, how they are working, and the extent to which they serve the science needs of the broader planetary community. Consequently, NASA's PSD has released an RFI to the Planetary Science Community and will hold a special session on this topic at the 2016 LPSC meeting and is inviting members of the community to submit abstracts for oral or poster presentation, describing their facility, its capabilities, its uses, and its potential service to the community at large. This process is initiated in the effort to assess best practices and identify a series of lessons learned, as well as provide information for future plans and strategies supporting a balanced PSD R&A portfolio.

Managed for NASA by USRA's Lunar and Planetary Institute

Planetary Scientists: Is Your Laboratory Adequately Supported?

- The Planetary Science Subcommittee perceives that PI-led laboratories supporting PSD research may be underfunded, and that support for laboratory technical staff is difficult to obtain.
- PSS is conducting a survey of laboratories that will be used to inform discussions with PSD about the planetary community's capabilities and challenges, and to help formulate potential solutions.

Please take the online survey at http://tinyurl.com/psslabs

FY16 Research Budget by Funding Line

Program	Budget (\$M)
Planetary R&A (Competed and supported activities)	154.0
Mars R&A (Mars Data Analysis Program) (excluding Critical Data Products (CDP)	9.4
Outer Planets Research (Cassini Data Analysis Program & PSP)	8.4
Discovery Research	11.4
Joint Robotics Program for Exploration (JRPE) (SSERVI Nodes)	10.0
NEOO (Competed activities)	20.9
Europa Technology	25.0*
Total	214.1

National Academies R&A Study

Objective: Examine the program elements of the PSD R&A programs, as they currently exist following restructuring, for their consistency with past NRC advice

The committee* will address the following questions:

- 1. Are the PSD and R&A program elements appropriately linked to, and do they encompass the range and scope of activities needed to support, the NASA Strategic Objective for Planetary Science and the PSD Science Goals, as articulated in the 2014 NASA Science Plan?
- 2. Are the PSD R&A program elements appropriately structured to develop the broad base of knowledge and broad range of activities needed both to enable new spaceflight missions and to interpret and maximize the scientific return from existing missions?

^{*} Currently staffing *ad hoc* committee. Report anticipated by December.



NASA's Progress in Increasing Access to Scientific Research

- In response to the OSTP Memo from February 2013 which directs each Federal agency with over \$100 million in annual conduct of research and development expenditures to develop a plan to support increased public access to the results of research funded by the Federal Government.
- NASA Policy Directive NPD 2230, Research Data and Publication Access is final
- A website portal for all of NASA data information is located at www.nasa.gov/open/ researchaccess. It will include the plan/NPD, FAQs, links to training videos as well as links to NASA datasets.
- NASA's repository for all peer reviewed publications is located at NASA portal page entitled PubSpace



"Effective data management has the potential to increase the pace of scientific discovery and promote more efficient and effective use of government funding and resources." (Excerpt from the NASA Plan)



Data and Publication Management Status

- NASA's research solicitations will require a data management plan (DMP)
 - Will be required in all 2016 solicitations
 - DMP will not be counted in the overall proposal page count
 - DMP must be included in the proposal and identify where the data will be housed
- Extramural = Grantees and contractors to submit accepted peer reviewed manuscripts to Pubspace.
- Intramural = Civil servants accepted peer reviewed manuscripts to be submitted into STI Program and STI will upload into Pubspace



NASA Form 1839 Demographic Data Collection

External studies indicate potential unconscientious or implicit bias in grant awards, especially in non-biological categories

NASA was not collecting demographic information

- This form is now included in all NASA solicitations
- Panel reviewers will not see it
- It is totally anonymous

Remember:

- This is strictly voluntary proposers are encouraged to complete it
- It will not be included in the proposal review package
- It will **not** be used in any way to determine grant award

NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration	Demographic D	Oata Collection	Form Approved O.M.B. No. 2700-0161 Expires: 12/31/2018
The Federal Gron gender, ethin proposed Princ seq., Title IX of and NASA's Im asked to submi Project Directo Submission o submitted will a	overnment has a conicity, race, or disain piple investigators/C tribe Education Am plementing regulat it a single copy of tro- trowith each proposion of the requested for the requested for the requested proposion to the conicity for the requested to the conicity proposity for the requested proposity for the requested for the requested fo	INS COLLECTED: output properties of the control of the operate british of the control of the co	graphic data based on gender, ethnicity, oe with Tille VI of the Civil Rights Act of , Section S04 of the Rehabilitation Act of To gather information feeded for this im lator (PI)Project Director (PO) and Co-P the organization's eligibility for an aw m others. Any individual not wishing to s	race, or disability of its 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d et 11973, 29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq. portant task, the proposer is rincipal investigator(s)/Co- vard. However, information not ubmit some or all the
Gender: Ma	ie Female	• 🗆		
Ethnicity (choose	e one):	Race (select one or more):	Disability Status (select one or more):
Hispanic or La		American Indian or Alaska Native	Hearing	
Not Hispanic	or Latin	Asian	Visual	
		Black or African American		edic impairment
		Native Hawalian or Other Pacific Isl	_	
		White	None	📙
Citizenship (cho			Do Not Wish to	Provide
U.S. Citizen	use one).			
Lawful Perma	part Resident			
Non-U.S. Citiz				
Check this box if	you do not wish to	provide any of the above information.		
*Are you current	ly serving (or have	previously served) as PI, PD, Co-PI, or Co-F	PD on any federally funded project?	YES NO
This informat do not need in number for ti	to answer these qu his collection is 270	tement is the requirements of 44 U.S.C. § 3507 as a estions unless we display a valid Office of M 0-0161 and this information collection expire id answer the questions.	fanagement and Budget (OMB) control r	number. The OMB control
Send only co	mments relating to	our time estimate to: gale.allen@nasa.gov		



Demographic Data Collection

Form Approved O.M.B. No. 2700-0161 Expires: 12/31/2018

WHY THIS INFORMATION IS BEING COLLECTED:

The Federal Government has a continuing commitment to monitor the operation of its review and award processes to identify any inequities based on gender, ethnicity, race, or disability. Therefore, NASA is requesting demographic data based on gender, ethnicity, race, or disability of its proposed Principle investigators/Co-investigators in order to ensure compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq., Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq., Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 701 et seq. and NASA's implementing regulations at 14 CFR. §§1250, 1251, and 1253. To gather information needed for this important task, the proposer is asked to submit a single copy of this form for each identified Principal Investigator (PI)Project Director (PD) and Co-Principal Investigator(s)/Co-Project Director with each proposal.

Submission of the requested information is voluntary and will not affect the organization's eligibility for an award. However, information not submitted will seriously undermine the usefulness, of information received from others. Any individual not wishing to submit some or all the information should check the box provided for this purpose. "The exception is the PI/PD information about prior Federal support, the last question below.

Gender: Male Female			
Ethnicity (choose one):	Race (select one or more):	Disability Status (select	one or more):
Hispanic or Latin	American Indian or Alaska Native	Hearing	
Not Hispanic or Latin	Asian	Visual	
	Black or African American	Mobility/Orthopedic I	mpairment
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other	
	White	None	
		Do Not Wish to Prov	ide
Citizenship (choose one):			
U.S. Citizen			
Lawful Permanent Resident			
Non-U.S. Citizen			
Check this box if you do not wish to prov	ide any of the above information.		
'Are you currently serving (or have previ	iously served) as PI, PD, Co-PI, or Co-PD on a	any federally funded project?	ES NO
Paperwork Reduction Act Stateme			
do not need to answer these questio	e requirements of 44 U.S.C. § 3507 as amende ins unless we dispiay a valid Office of Manage 61 and this information collection expires on 1. Iswer the questions.	ment and Budget (OMB) control numbe	er. The OMB control
Send only comments relating to our	time estimate to: gale.allen@nasa.gov		

NASA Form 1839 01/16 (1.0)


Keyword Analysis


- Analysis of "Target Object" Keyword for 2011-2015:
 - Competed ROSES programs, including
 - DAPS
 - Participating Science Programs
- Excludes:
 - NAI
 - SSERVI
- Caveats:
 - Keywords were not submitted for all tasks

OBJECT OF STUDY




SMALL BODIES BREAKDOWN





OTHER TARGETS BREAKDOWN



QUESTIONS?

BACKUPS

Important Change in ROSES-2016

- We must hide NASA Civil Servant (CS) salary and overhead from ROSES peer reviewers, but we currently do not hide salary and overhead of non-NASA proposers.
- Since we keep the NASA CS salary out the budgets and the cover pages, they sometimes get forgotten.
- Starting in ROSES-2016 we will treat all proposers equally: All salaries and overhead will be included in the NSPIRES cover pages, but automatically hidden from reviewers.
- Only level of effort (FTEs/WYEs) will be in the body of proposals and assessed by peer reviewers.
- Because all salaries and overhead for everyone will be in the cover pages, NASA HQ will be less likely to miss these when awarding to Centers.

Procedure for USGS mapping

- Contact the USGS Map Coordinator (currently Jim Skinner) to discuss the mapping project. This should be done as early as possible in the proposal process.
 - The USGS has a form letter that lists the map's technical specifications and affirms that the USGS is able to support the mapping effort.
 - This is purely a statement of technical support and does not constitute an endorsement of the proposal.
- In the proposal submission questions, indicate that a USGS geologic map would be published as part of the project.
- In the full (Step-2) proposal, the USGS letter of technical specifications must be included, as one would include a letter of support.
 - This letter does not remove the responsibility of the proposal to describe and justify the mapping effort within the 15-page main body.
 - Selection of a proposal is contingent upon the inclusion of this letter.
- The USGS will be notified by the Program Officer of selected proposals with a mapping component.

...and there's leveraging!

- Astrophysics Division provides ~\$1.5M to the NASA Astrobiology Institute.
- Astrophysics Division and R&A leverage joint investments in XRP: ~\$6M/year in research with \$2-2.5M for new awards.
- Human Exploration and Operations Mission Directorate (HEOMD) contributes \$4-5M to fund SSERVI.

Updates

- Feb: ROSES 2016 released
 - IMPORTANT CHANGE: All salaries (even civil servants!) should be included in cover page budget tables; these will be automatically redacted and hidden from reviewers. DO include the work effort table (FTEs); Do NOT include \$ values of salaries (or overhead) in the budget justification.
 - Appendix C.1 explains general requirements that apply to all program elements; read it carefully!
 - Two-page DMP falls outside 15-page limit.
 - There is a new PSD-specific FAQ page for Data Management Plans.
- May 2016: Office of Chief Scientist to release Data Management website
- Later in 2016: Facilities RFIs and CAN
- Late 2016:
 - Mid decadal review start
 - New Frontiers Draft AO