


# In-Space Propulsion Technology (ISPT) Project Overview


Planetary Science Subcommittee Meeting, October 3, 2008



**David Anderson**  
ISPT Project Manager (Acting)

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

## The What and Why of ISPT




- ISPT Objective: “develop in-space propulsion technologies that can enable or benefit near to mid-term NASA science missions by significantly reducing travel times required for transit to distant bodies, increasing scientific payload capability or reducing mission costs”
- The ISPT project is the only NASA project that addresses the primary propulsion technology needs for the agency’s future robotic science missions.
  - Development occurs in the TRL 3-6+ range.

**The current ISPT project focus is on completing our near TRL6 products**


www.nasa.gov 2

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

## Technology Products At or Nearing TRL 6




**NEXT Electric Propulsion System**




HiVHAC Hall Thruster


**Aerocapture Technologies**



**Systems Analysis Tools**




**AMBR High Temperature Rocket**



www.nasa.gov 3


National Aeronautics and Space Administration

## Advanced Chemical Propulsion



### Advanced Materials Bi-propellant Rocket (AMBR)

Approach: Enhancing a proven commercial product (Aerojet’s HiPAT engine)



AMBR: a high temperature bi-propellant Ir/Re engine

- Benefit: Mass saving 60 Kg for a conceptual high-energy mission over SOA chemical engine, lower cost, advanced manufacturing and materials
- Attain TRL 6 for storable bi-propellant, Ir/Re, apogee class engine in by early FY09
  - Including life, vibrate and shock testing
- Achieve the objective by increasing engine performance by increasing operating temperature and thereby ( $I_{sp}$ )


www.nasa.gov 4



## Advance Materials Bipropellant Rocket (AMBR)

### Objective

- Improve the bipropellant engine Isp performance by fully exploiting the benefits of advanced thrust chamber materials
- Goals
  - \* 335 seconds Isp with NTO/N2H4
  - \* 1 hour operating (firing) time
  - \* 200 lbf thrust
  - \* 3-10 years mission life
  - \* Lower cost (up to 30% savings)



### Approach

- Adopt operating conditions to allow the thruster to run at higher temperatures and pressures
- Test a baseline engine for model development
- Evaluate materials and fabrication processes
- Develop advanced injector and chamber design
- Fabricate and test a prototype engine
- Perform environmental testing: life hotfire, vibrate, and shock tests

### Remaining Tasks



- Completing AMBR prototype fabrication (3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2008)
  - Injector assembly, combustion chamber, nozzle, and nozzle extension
- Testing AMBR prototype to verify performance (4<sup>th</sup> Quarter 2008)
- Carrying out environmental tests (2008/2009)
  - Vibration (Aerojet)
  - Shock (JPL)
  - Hotfire life test (Aerojet)



## Proven Design for Higher Performance

### Design Characteristics

Design Characteristics	AMBR	HiPAT DM	AMBR Test Results
• Thrust (lbf) (N2H4/NTO)	200	100	150
• Specific Impulse (sec)	335	328	333.5
• Inlet Pressure (psia)	400	250	275
• Chamber Temperature (F)	4000	3100	3900
• Oxidizer/Fuel Ratio	1.2	1.0	1.1
• Expansion Ratio	400:1	300:1	400:1
• Physical Envelope	Within existing HiPAT envelope (R4D-15-DM)		
• Propellant Valves	Existing R-4D valves		



- The AMBR technology is an improvement upon the existing HiPAT™ engine
  - The HiPAT™ engine is one of the Aerojet Corporation's R-4D Family of thrusters
  - The R-4D family of thrusters carries the heritage: >1000 engines delivered, >650 flown, 100% success rate



## Mission and System Studies Show Benefit

- Conducted mission and system studies to identify propulsion technology requirements and impacts

### AMBR Engine potential mass reduction (payload gain) for various missions

- Results show increased performance can reduce the propellant required to perform spacecraft maneuvers.
- Propellant reduction implies increase of payload or margin

	Total Propulsion System Mass Reduction (Kg)				
Isp (sec)	320	325	330	332.5	335
GTO to GEO	0	16	30	37	45
Europa Orbiter	N/A	0	12	16	24
Mars Orbiter	N/A	0	14	22	29
T - E Orbiter	N/A	0	29	45	60


**Broad mission applicability. Lowers cost and increases performance. TRL 6 in 2009!**



## Electric Propulsion

### Technology Development Highlights

- NEXT (NASA's Evolutionary Xenon Thruster) Ion Propulsion System
  - Benefit: specific impulse increased 32%, >2x increase in throughput, throttle range increased 3x, specific mass decreased 50% over SOA Ion
    - Improve performance/life of ion propulsion system (IPS)
    - Demonstrate TRL6 system readiness through operation of a system integration test (SIT) system of prototype model components (thruster, power processing unit (PPU), feed system)
- HiVHAC (High Voltage Hall Accelerator) Thruster
  - Benefit: Total system cost reduction relative to SOA IPS, specific impulse increased 68% and xenon throughput increased 2x over SOA Hall
    - Specifically developing a low cost, high reliability product for cost-limited missions
    - Develop low power, long-life Hall thruster for Discovery missions
- Standardization and components
  - Benefit: Total system cost reduction relative to SOA IPS, specific mass and other improvements over SOA
    - Feed system, Distributed Control Interface Unit, ...






# NEXT Ion Propulsion System

## NASA's Evolutionary Xenon Thruster (NEXT)

### Objective

Improve the performance and life of gridded ion engines to reduce user costs and enhance / enable a broad range of NASA SMD missions



NEXT gridded ion thruster      NEXT PM ion thruster operation at NASA GRC

Thruster Attribute	SOA	NEXT
Max. Input Power (kW)	2.3	Up to 6.9
Throttle Range	4:1	>12:1
Max. Specific Impulse (s)	3,170	4,190
Efficiency @ Full Power	62%	71%
Propellant Throughput (kg)	235	>300 (design)
Specific Mass (kg/kW)	3.6	1.8

**A high performance EP system with broad applicability. System demonstrated in relevant environment in FY08**

### Key Milestones/Accomplishments

- Multi-thruster array test of 3 operating engineering model (EM) thrusters and 1 instrumented spare completed at GRC Dec 2005
- EM thruster extended duration test initiated June 2005, has exceeded 17,450 hours and 355-kg throughput as of 09/04/08 (DS-1 <80-kg)
- Prototype model (PM-1) thruster passed qual level environmental testing at JPL in 2007.
- PM-1 single string test completed.
- Demonstration of system TRL 6 except completion of life testing



# NEXT is Nearing TRL 6 Validation

- Critical tests have been completed, or are imminent, on high fidelity hardware

	PM1	PM1R	PPU	Feed System	Gimbal
Functional & Performance Testing	Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete
Qual-Level Vibration Test	Complete	Complete	2008	Complete	Complete
Qual-Level Thermal/Vacuum Test	Complete	Complete	2008	Complete	Not Applicable

- Single-String System Integration Test: March 2008 - September 2008
- Multi-Thruster Integration Test: Completed September 2008
- Thruster Life Test: In progress & continuing through FY2010



# NEXT – Mission Benefits

	Discovery (<10 kW)			New Frontiers (< 20kW)			Flagship	
	Dawn	Near Earth Asteroid Sample	Comet Rendezvous	Titan Lander	CSSR	JPOP	Titan	Neptune
Single NSTAR	X	X						
Multi-NSTAR		X	X	?				
HIVHAC	XX	XX	XX	?	XX			
NEXT	X	X	X	X	X		X	X

X= Applicable      ?= Not Evaluated      NSTAR: NASA Solar Electric Propulsion Technology Application Readiness  
 XX= Possibly Cost Enabling      Not Applicable      NEXT: NASA's Evolutionary Xenon Thruster  
 HIVHAC: High Voltage Hall Accelerator

Mission	Performance Finding
Discovery- Small Body Missions • Near Earth Asteroid Rendezvous • Vesta-Ceres Rendezvous (Dawn) • Comet Rendezvous • Deimos Sample Return	Higher net payload mass with fewer thrusters than NSTAR system
New Frontiers - Comet Surface Sample Return	Higher net payload mass than NSTAR, with, Simpler EP System: 2+1 NEXT vs 4+1 NSTAR thrusters
New Frontiers - Titan Direct Lander	>700 kg entry package with 1+1 NEXT system, potentially within New Frontiers cost cap
Flagship - Saturn System Missions • Titan • Enceladus	> 2400 kg to Saturn Orbit Insertion with 1+1 NEXT system, Earth Gravity Assist and Atlas 5 EELV (2x delivered mass of chemical/JGA approach) > 4000 kg to Saturn Orbit Insertion with 3+1 NEXT system, Earth Gravity Assist and Delta IV Heavy


NEXT provides mission benefits across all planetary science mission classes




# High Voltage Hall Accelerator (HIVHAC) Thruster

### Objective

Develop low power, long-life Hall thruster to reduce the cost of Discovery-class EP



NASA-77M      NASA-94M (SOA)      NASA-103M (ASOA)



**A low power, low cost, high reliability EP thruster for future science missions.**

### Key Milestones/Accomplishments

- NASA-103M (ASOA) hall thruster fabrication and assembly in August 2006
- NASA-103M wear test (>4630 hours of life and 97 kg throughput accumulated as of 8/31/08)
- Full EM Thruster design and fabrication based on validated life tests completed in FY08
- Advanced Hall thruster erosion modeling ongoing at the University of Michigan and JPL



## Aerocapture System

### Technology Development Highlights

- Advanced lightweight ablators & high-temp & sensors
- **Benefit: Decreases mass 20-30% over SOA heatshield**
  - Attain TRL6 for lightweight heatshield systems at 1-meter scale by manufacturing 3 aeroshells, testing at solar tower, and developing finite element models
  - Manufacture full-scale (2.65m) lightweight, high-temperature aeroshell and perform standard qualification testing on it (Vibro-acoustic, thermal-vac, mechanical loading, etc.)
- Rib-stiffened Carbon-Carbon (C-C) aeroshell
- **Benefit: Decreases mass 30+% over Genesis heatshield**
  - Manufactured 2m demo article and mechanically tested to representative loads
    - ready for infusion
- Simulations and Modeling
- **Benefit: Reduces risk for infusion and minimizes TPS margin**
  - Develop Hardware In-The-Loop simulation for Guidance, Navigation, and Control (GN&C) software to raise to TRL6 for aerocapture at all destinations
  - Continue modeling of aerothermal environments to allow reduction in TPS margin, risk assessment
  - Continue atmospheric model development to incorporate most recent scientific data



## Studies and Tools

### Objective

- To enhance NASA and industry mission analysis capabilities and consistency by providing trajectory generation/ optimization tools for low thrust propulsion technologies.
- Inform decisions and infuse products
- To enable mission trajectory analysts to produce uniform results across all NASA centers



### Recent efforts

- Aerocapture Quicklook tool
- Life qualification approach for EP systems
- Chemical propulsion trades
- EP parameters for a radioisotope powered mission
- Provide expertise or training to proposers, evaluators or others as requested

### Recent Products


- Suite of Low-Thrust Tools delivered March 2006
  - Copernicus v3/28/06
  - SNAP v2.3 (Spacecraft N-Body Analysis Program)
  - MALTO v4.4 (Mission Analysis Low-Thrust Optimization)
  - Mystic v9.0
  - OTIS v4.0 (Optimal Trajectory by Implicit Simulation)
- LTTT website on-line April 2006  
<http://www.inspacepropulsion.com/LTTT/>
- Numerous abstracts of papers for the AIAA/AAS Astrodynamics Specialist Conference (ASC) accepted for presentation



## Product Infusion

### Commitment to Product Infusion by the ISPT Project & SMD

- SMD has stated that it desires that ISPT products be utilized and proposed on missions and is committed to encouraging proposers to do that on upcoming missions
- **The upcoming New Frontiers 3 AO will provide an incentive to infuse NEXT or AMBR into the proposed missions**
- ISPT is dedicated to completing the propulsion products and assisting in their infusion onto future NASA science missions
- **The project can confidentially assist potential users with information and other support \***



**ISPT products nearing infusion readiness into NASA science missions.**

\* To discuss options please contact: David Anderson, ISPT Project Manager (Acting)  
216/433-8709 or [David.J.Anderson@nasa.gov](mailto:David.J.Anderson@nasa.gov)



Back-up



## Why Does ISPT Exist


The NASA Science Missions of greatest interest (as as defined by the Decadal Survey, The Solar System Exploration Roadmap, Heliophysics Roadmap,...) identify in-space transportation technologies that need to be development if these missions are to be successfully implemented.

The ISPT project exists because its technology products are pulled by NASA Science Missions.



## Solar System Exploration (SSE) Missions of Interest

Completed missions keep cost a priority and pull the broadly applicable high performing technologies



## Needed Transportation Technologies for SSE

SSE Table 4.1: Technology Priorities for Solar System Exploration

Technology	Baseline	Competition
<b>SPACECRAFT SYSTEMS</b>		
Transportation	●	●
Power	●	●
Communications	●	●
Planetary protection	▲	▲
Autonomy and software	▲	▲
<b>NEW EXPLORATION SYSTEMS</b>		
Extreme environments	●	●
Entry, descent, and landing	▲	▲
Planetary mobility	▲	▲
<b>SCIENCE INSTRUMENTS</b>		
In situ sensing	●	●
Components and miniaturization	●	●
Remote sensing	▲	▲

Aerocapture and Electric Propulsion are the highest priority technologies

Another application/need for Advanced Chemical technologies?

● Highest priority — new developments are required for all or most roadmap missions.  
▲ High priority — either applications are more limited or can leverage existing work effectively.



## Tracing Missions to SMD Objectives and Science Questions

Mission Objective	Major Science Objectives		Flagship Missions	
	Major Science Objectives	Flagship Missions	Major Science Objectives	Flagship Missions
Understand the initial stages of planetary and satellite formation	●	●	●	●
Determine how the processes that shape planetary bodies operate and interact	●	●	●	●
Understand why the terrestrial planets are so different from one another	●	●	●	●
Learn what our Solar System can tell us about extraterrestrial planetary systems	●	●	●	●
What are the characteristics of the Solar System that led to the origin of life?	●	●	●	●
Determine the nature, history, and distribution of volatile and organic compounds in the Solar System	●	●	●	●
Determine evidence for a past ocean on the surface of Venus	●	●	●	●
Identify the habitable zones in the outer Solar System	●	●	●	●
How did life begin and evolve on Earth and has it evolved elsewhere in the Solar System?	●	●	●	●
Identify the sources of simple chemicals important to prebiotic evolution and the emergence of life	●	●	●	●
Evidence for life on Europa, Enceladus, and Titan	●	●	●	●
Evidence for past life on Venus	●	●	●	●
Study Earth's geologic and biological record to determine the historical relationship between Earth and its biosphere	●	●	●	●
Identify and characterize mineral and resources enabling human presence in space	●	●	●	●
Determine the inventory and dynamics of objects that may pose an impact hazard to Earth	●	●	●	●
Inventory and characterize planetary resources that can sustain and protect human exploration	●	●	●	●

SSE Table 3.1: Traceability Matrix — Scientific Questions, Objectives, and Missions

Convention: ● Major or Unique Contribution ▲ Support Contribution  
SB — small body; NH — New Horizons; SPABER — South Pole-Aitken Basin Sample Return; VSEB — Venus In Situ Explorer; CIBR — Comet Surface Sample Return; JP — Jupiter Flyby with In Situ Probe; C-H — Cassini-Huygens; EE — Europa Explorer; TE — Titan; EASD — Europa Astrobiology Lander; VME — Venus Mobile Explorer; EAL — Europa Astrobiology Lander; NTE — Neptune-Triton Explorer; CSR — Organic Comet Surface Sample Return; VSR — Venus Surface Sample Return — beyond the 3 proposed Flagship missions  
1 — 8Kp1 includes ground- and space-based observations with a range of NASA facilities including the Hubble and Spitzer Space Telescopes and, in the near future, the proposed James Webb Space Telescope.


# Needed Transportation Technologies per Roadmaps

ISPT investments trace to SMD objectives and science questions through missions

Major Questions	Discovery	New Frontiers										Flagship (Small/Large)										LWS	MIDEX
Objectives	SB	MOON	VENUS	MERCURY	NH	JUNO	SPASR	WISE	GSSR	SP	C-H	EE	TE	WME	EAL	NTE	CSSR*	VSSR*	INTERSTELLAR PROBE	HELIOSTORM	SPI	D&G (*)	
Spacecraft Systems Technologies																							
Transportation																							
Access to Space																							
Solar Electric Propulsion																							
NEXT	●	■	■	■	○	○																	
Hall	●	■	■	■																			
Standard Architecture	Pending final definition of products.																						
Aerocapture / Aeroassist																							
TFS																							
Sensors																							
Inflatable Decelerators																							
GNC																							
Modeling																							
Advanced Chemical Propulsion																							
High-Temp Thrust																							
Light-Weight Components																							
Solar Sails																							
Inflation Deployed, Tip-Vane Controlled, Mylar Sail Material																							
Collable/Rigid Boom, CMCP Shift Control, CP1 Sail Material																							
Advanced Materials (Higher temperature, reflectivity and emissivity)																							

● Major Contribution   ■ Support Contribution   ○ On-Going Mission

# EP Description



# NEXT Hardware

EM3 wear test   System Integration Test Set-Up   PM Thruster

EM PPU   NEXT Multi-Thruster Array   Gimbal vibrate test   Thermal cycle

# EP Technical Approach

Technology Performance Parameter		SOA	Projection (Status)	Goal (Target Metric)
NEXT		(NSTAR)		
Thruster	Efficiency	0.60η & 3100 sec at 2300 w	0.71η at = 4100 sec Demonstrated FM test	0.68η & 4050 sec at 6850 w
	Efficiency	0.38η & 1780 sec at 430 w	0.33η at = 1400 sec Demonstrated FM test	0.32η & 1400 sec at 540 w
PPU	Mass	150 kg Xe throughput	260 kg Xe EM3 - 11/13/07	> 300 kg Xe throughput
	Mass	8.3 kg	12.7 kg PM actual	< 14 kg
PPU	Mass	14.8 kg (6.4 kg/kw)	< 33.9 kg, EM actual (4.9 kg/kw)	< 26 kg (< 3.8 kg/kw)
	Temperature	20-50 deg C baseplate	25-60 deg C baseplate, design projection	25-60 deg C baseplate
PPU	Efficiency	0.80 - 0.92η eff over power range	> 0.94η eff at full power, > 0.85η high voltage power eff over power range, in benchtop unit tests	> 0.95η eff at full power, > 0.89η eff over power range
	Mass	< 10.9 kg (single string primary components)	4 kg EM actual (single string assemblies)	< 6.7 kg (single string assemblies)
PMS	Accuracy	control flows to +/- 3%	< 1% demonstrated EM test	control flows to 3%
HIVHAC		(SPT-100)		
Thruster	Efficiency	0.50η & 1450 sec at 1400 w	0.30η & 1200 sec at 300 w, test	0.30η & 1200 sec at 300 w
	Efficiency	0.50η & 1450 sec at 1400 w	0.34η & 2700 sec at 3.5 kw, test	0.54η & 2700 sec at 3.5 kw
Thruster	Mass	5.6 kg (4 kg/kw)	7.2 kg (2.1 kg/kw) (lab model)	< 7.2 kg (2.1 kg/kw)
	Mass	150 kg Xe throughput	300 kg Xe throughput projected by test and analysis	300 kg Xe throughput
VACCO AXFS		NSTAR		
PMS (Chems)	Mass	14.5 kg	2.3 kg (3 PCM actual + 1 PCM projected), 0.7 kg single string	< 2.3 kg (single string)
	Accuracy	control flows to +/- 3%	< 1% demo in FCM acceptance test	control flows to +/- 3%



# Technology Infusion


- Infusion of IPS into Dawn mission

## ISPT supported EP technology development for a SMD mission

### • NSTAR thruster Extended Life Test (ELT)

- ISPT agreed to make the ELT a test-to-failure
- ELT data established IPS throughput capability to reach both Vesta and Ceres
- Required by NASA HQ to approve flight implementation

- Post ELT engine analysis
- Understanding of thruster wear-out modes
- Low-thrust trajectory tool development



ISPT support enabled Dawn mission



# Aerocapture Technology Subsystem Readiness

Destination	Venus	Earth	Mars	Titan	Neptune
<b>Atmosphere</b>	Venus-GRAM based on world-wide VIRA.	Earth-GRAM validated by Space Shuttle	Mars-GRAM continuously updated with latest mission data.	Titan-GRAM based on Yelle atmos. Accepted worldwide and updated with Cassini-Huygens data	Neptune-GRAM developed from Voyager, other observations
<b>Aerodynamics</b>	Heritage shape, well understood aerodynamics	Heritage shape, well understood aerodynamics	Heritage shape, well understood aerodynamics	Heritage shape, well understood aerodynamics	New shape; aerodynamics to be established.
<b>GN&amp;C</b>	APC algorithm captures 96% of corridor	Small delivery errors. APC algorithm captures 97% of corridor	APC algorithm captures 99% of corridor	APC algorithm captures 98% of corridor	APC algorithm with $\alpha$ control captures 95% of corridor.
<b>TPS</b>	More testing needed on efficient mid-density TPS. Combined convective and radiative facility needed.	Technology ready for ST9. LMA hot structure ready for arrivals up to 10.5 km/s.	ISPT investments have provided more materials ready for application to slow arrivals, and new ones for faster entries.	ISPT investments have provided more materials ready for application.	Zoned approach for mass efficiency. Needs more investment.
<b>Structures</b>	High-temp systems will reduce mass by 31%.	High-temp systems will reduce mass by 14%-30%.	High-temp systems will reduce mass by 14%-30%.	High-temp systems will reduce mass by 14%-30%.	Complex shape, large scale. Extraction difficult.
<b>Aerothermal</b>	Convective models match within 20% laminar, 45% with turbulence. Radiative models agree within 50%	Environment fairly well-known from Apollo, Shuttle. Models match within 15%	Convective models agree within 15%. Radiative: predict models will agree within 50% where radiation is a factor.	Convective models agree within 15%. Radiative models agree within 35-300%	Conditions cannot be duplicated on Earth in existing facilities. More work on models needed.
<b>System</b>	Accomplishes 97.7% of $\Delta V$ to achieve 300 x 300 km orbit. No known technology gaps.	Accomplishes 97.2% of $\Delta V$ to achieve 300 x 130 km orbit. No known technology gaps.	Accomplishes 97.8% of $\Delta V$ to achieve 1400 x 165 km orbit. No known technology gaps.	Accomplishes 95.8% of $\Delta V$ to achieve 1700 x 1700 km orbit. No known tech gaps. ENABLING	Accomplishes 96.9% of $\Delta V$ to achieve Triton observer orbit. ENABLING

Ready for Infusion

Some Investment Needed

Significant Investment Needed



# Example of Aerocapture Benefits to Missions

Mission	Launch Vehicle	All Chemical Mass Delivered	All Chemical Trip Time	Chemical/Aerocapture Mass Delivered	Chemical/Aerocapture Trip Time	SEP/Aerocapture Mass Delivered	SEP/Aerocapture Trip Time
Titan (1700 km circ orbit)	Delta IV H*	466 kg (1.00)	9.1 yrs (1.00)	2225 kg (4.80x)	7.1 yrs (0.78x)*-	4488 kg (9.60x)	6.1 yrs (0.67x)
Neptune (3986 x 430,000 km orbit)	Delta IV H**	1416 kg (1.00)	15 yrs (1.00)	3709 kg (2.60x)	10.8 yrs (0.72x)	4173 kg (2.9x)	10.3 yrs (0.68x)
Venus (300 km circ orbit)	Delta 2925H-10	112 kg (1.00)	0.44 yrs 159 days (1.00)	687 kg (6.10x)	0.44 yrs 159 days (1.00x)		
Venus - Aerobraking (300 km circ orbit)	Delta 2925H-10	374 kg (1.00)	0.77 yrs 281 days (1.00)	687 kg (1.80x)	0.44 yrs 159 days (0.57x)		
Mars*** - Sample Return (500 km circ orbit, opposition class)	Delta IV H	Not Possible	Not Possible	8279 kg	0.58 yrs 213 days		

\* Titan Explorer mission can be accomplished on Delta 4450 using Chemical or SEP with Aerocapture

\*\* Neptune Orbiter mission can be accomplished on Atlas 551 using SEP/Aerocapture

\*\*\* MEP requested study (MEP also provided mission parameters)

Significant mass savings. TPS and other subsystems have broad applicability. Ground development complete in FY09