

MINERALOGIC AND GEOLOGIC MAPS OF VESTA: CORRELATION WITHIN A GIS ENVIRONMENT

A. Frigeri¹, M.C. De Sanctis¹, E. Ammannito¹, R. A. Yingst², S. Mest², F. Capaccioni¹, B. Garry², G. Magni¹, E. Palomba¹, N. Petro³, F. Tosi¹, D. Williams⁴, F. Zambon¹, R. Jaumann⁵, C.M. Pieters⁶, C.A. Raymond⁷, C.T. Russell⁸ and the Dawn Team. ¹Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali, Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, via del Fosso del Cavaliere, 00133 Roma, Italy (alessandro.frigeri@ifsi-roma.inaf.it); ²Planetary Science Institute, Tucson, Arizona, USA; ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD; ⁴Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ; ⁵DLR, Berlin, Germany; ⁶Department of Geological Sciences, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA; ⁷NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA; ⁸Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics, University of California at Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA;

Introduction The Dawn mission to Vesta has greatly improved the quality and resolution of data available to explore the asteroid. Prior to the Dawn mission the best data available was the one from Hubble Space Telescope [1-6] with a maximum resolution of 50 km per pixel. The *survey phase* of the mission has pushed spatial resolution up to about 100 meters per pixel by the Framing Camera (FC, [7]) on-board Dawn, and 700 meters per pixel for the VIR spectrometer, spanning the spectral range from the visible to infrared at 0.25 – 1 μm and 1 – 5 μm [8]. The frames of the FC and VIR have been processed and mosaicked. A preliminary geologic map has been produced by mapping units and structures over the FC mosaic and the DTM derived from stereo processing of visible imagery [9]. Herein we will present some examples of correlation between the preliminary geologic and VIR-derived mineralogic maps.

Mapping in the digital domain and correlation of maps

The Dawn mission team is using Geographic Information System tools for locating frames and for data exchange among the team. The use of GIS tools and data formats significantly improves our ability to create and interpret geologic maps, and also improves the interoperability of high level data products among the instruments' team. VIR data have been synthesized into a series of spectral indicators that give indications on the mineralogical composition and the physical state of the surface.

This way we imported into a GIS the preliminary geologic map as units and structures and we projected the mosaics of spectral indicators in a common coordinate reference system. At the current stage of the work, we have mapped the Band Depth computed on pyroxene Band II (2.0 μm) and the Band Center also computed on Band II. The Band Depth expresses the abundance of a mineral and the grain size of the material, while the Band Center indicates the mineral type [10,11]. Over the spectral parameters map we projected the boundaries of the preliminary geologic map of Vesta based on Dawn data [9]. Working within a GIS environment, informative layers are being analysed and can be visualized and interpreted in their actual resolution and dynamic range. Moreover, cross-

analysis of data is guaranteed by a wide range of spatial and statistical analysis tools that are commonly available in any Geographic Information Systems.

Discussion The comparison of the preliminary geologic map and the mosaics of spectral indicators extracted from VIR data show promising aspects on both the geologic and mineralogic aspects. Geologic units are made up of bodies of rock that are interpreted to have been formed by a particular process or set of related processes over a discrete interval of time, so the morphology and the topography are the primary sources for the crafting of a geologic map. Mineralogy as interpreted from spectroscopy provides unique information in interpreting unit boundaries and the processes that formed those units. In particular, spectral indicators synthesize the complex methods of data reduction, making mineralogic measurements accessible to non-spectroscopists. This way, within a digital mapping context in GIS, including the spectral indicators in the stack of imagery and topographic layers enriches the observation possibilities of the geologic mapper. However, caution must be used to ensure that surface mineralogic expression are not misinterpreted as geologic units, and only the combined effort of geologic mappers and spectroscopists will develop good practices in the use of spectral indicators.

We believe that the combined observation of image mosaics, topography and mineralogic parameters will greatly improve the interpretative process of geologic mapping and thus the quality of the next series of forthcoming geologic maps of Vesta.

References [1] Binzel, R.P., et al., (1997) *Icarus*, 128, 95-103. [2] Gaffey, M.J., (1983) *LPSC*, 14, 231-232. [3] Gaffey, M.J., (1997) *Icarus*, 127, 130-157. [4] Li, J.-Y., et al., (2006) *Icarus*, 182, 143-160. [5] Li, J.-Y., et al., (2008) *LPSC*, 39, 2253. [6] Degewij, J., et al., (1979) *Icarus*, 40, 364-374. [7] Sierks, H., et al., (2011), *Space Sci. Rev.* 163, 263-327. [8] De Sanctis, M.C., et al., (2011), *Space Sci. Rev.* 163, 329-369. [9] Yingst, A., et al., (2012) *LPSC* [10] De Sanctis, M.C., et al., (2012) *LPSC* [11] De Sanctis, M.C., et al., (2012) *LPSC*