Launch & Landing Operations in the Presence of a Lunar Outpost

Lunar Soil Erosion Physics and Impact Damage

Ryan Clegg, FIT – NASA Intern
Dr. Philip Metzger, NASA/KSC
Dr. Luke Roberson, NASA/KSC
Stephen Huff, NASA/KSC



Must Protect Spacecraft from Itself

- Landing visibility
- Contamination of mechanisms
- Jamming or spoofing sensors
- Erosion of coated surfaces
- Pitting of optics



Must Protect Surrounding Hardware

- Damage
- Erosion of coatings
- Contamination with dust
- Jamming mechanisms
- Excessive blast hardening required



Damage to Surveyor III by Apollo 12 LM

- Apollo 12 LM landed 160 to 180 meters from deactivated Surveyor 3 spacecraft
- Effects:
  - Scoured and pitted the exposed surfaces [1,2]
  - Fractured paint surface into a “mud cracking” pattern [2]
  - Injected grit into the inspection hole of the camera [1,2]
  - Glass or plastic would also sustain surface damage in these conditions

Sources:[1] Cour-Palais, B.G., *et al.,* “Results of examination of the returned Surveyor 3 samples for particulate impacts,” in *Analysis of Surveyor 3 material and photographs returned by Apollo 12*, (NASA SP-284, 1972), p. 161.

[2] Hughes Aircraft Technical Journal (in lunar material repository, JSC), reviewed by P. Metzger 04/23/07

[3] Katzan, Cynthia M. and Jonathan L. Edwards, *Lunar Dust Transport and Potential Interactions with Power System Components*, NASA Contractor Report 4404, (Sverdrup Technologies, Nov. 1999), p. 17, and references therein.

Characteristics of Lunar Exhaust Plume



CFD and DSMC simulations by Forrest Lumpkin (NASA/JSC) and Jeremiah Marichalar (Jacobs/JSC), "Plume Impingement to the Lunar Surface: A Challenging Problem for DSMC," in *Direct Simulation Monte Carlo Theory, Methods & Applications*, Santa Fe, NM (2007).

Viscous Erosion



Toroidal region of maximum traction, maximum viscous erosion

Viscous Erosion



Lunar Boundary Layer



Source: John E. Lane, Philip T. Metzger, Christopher D. Immer, and Xiaoyi Li, “Lagrangian Trajectory Modeling of Lunar Dust Particles,” Earth & Space 2008, Long Beach, CA, Mar. 3, 2008

Estimated Dust Ejection Speed and Angle from Ballistics Simulations



Particle trajectory angles relative to ground for various particle sizes and CFD cases.



Particle speeds exiting the CFD model boundary.

Source: John E. Lane, Philip T. Metzger, Christopher D. Immer, and Xiaoyi Li, “Lagrangian Trajectory Modeling of Lunar Dust Particles,” Earth & Space 2008, Long Beach, CA, Mar. 3, 2008


Dust ejection angle implied by
footpad shadow elongation

Particle Size Distribution of Lunar Soil





$$\ln\left[P(D)\right] = \begin{cases} 13.5\ \cos(D\pi/383.756), & \text{if D} < 70 \text{ microns} \\ 13.4637\ \exp(-(D-35)337/1.65), & \text{if D} \geq 70 \text{ microns} \end{cases}$$

Equilibration to Apollo Conditions



$$V = K_D v^3 D^3 \sigma^{3/2} H_V^{-3/2}$$

Equilibration to Apollo Conditions

$$V = K_D v^3 D^3 \sigma^{3/2} H_V{}^{-3/2}$$

$$V^{(\mathrm{Total})} = \int_{D_{\min}}^{D_{\max}} N \cdot P(D) \cdot K_D v(D)^3 D^3 \sigma^{3/2} H_V{}^{-3/2} \, \mathrm{d}D$$

$$= N\, K_D\, \sigma^{3/2} H_V{}^{-3/2} \int_{D_{\min}}^{D_{\max}} v(D)^3 P(D) D^3 \, \mathrm{d}D$$

$$= N\, K_D\, \sigma^{3/2} H_V{}^{-3/2} \left\langle v^3 \right\rangle$$

$$V_{\mathrm{Apollo\,LM}}^{(\mathrm{Total})} = N_{\mathrm{Apollo\,LM}} K_D \sigma^{3/2} H_V{}^{-3/2} \left\langle v_{\mathrm{Apollo\,LM}}^3 \right\rangle$$

$$V_{\mathrm{Experiment}}^{(\mathrm{Total})} = N_{\mathrm{Experiment}} K_D \sigma^{3/2} H_V{}^{-3/2} \left\langle v_{\mathrm{Experiment}}^3 \right\rangle$$

Equilibration to Apollo Conditions

$$\text{Insist}: \quad V^{(\text{Total})}_{\text{Apollo LM}} = V^{(\text{Total})}_{\text{Experiment}}$$

All material parameters cancel out!

$$N_{\text{Experiment}} = \frac{\left\langle v^3_{\text{Apollo LM}} \right\rangle}{\left\langle v^3_{\text{Experiment}} \right\rangle} \cdot N_{\text{Apollo LM}}$$

This is the benefit of doing the experiment.

$$= 568 \cdot N_{\text{Apollo LM}}$$

Determines how many particles to shoot at a target to create the same damage as would have occurred from 1 Apollo landing

Requires too much lunar simulant! Our experiments used only 1/10 this amount and therefore caused only 1/10 the damage of a single Apollo landing.

Trajectories of Lunar Plume Ejecta

- Spray reaches orbital altitudes and encompasses the entire Moon
  - Flux in orbit very low but preliminary modeling indicates significant chance of some impacts if spacecraft flies through the spray
  - Net velocity may be >4000 mps (hypervelocity regime)





Low Velocity Impact Test Chamber

JSC-1A versus common sandblasting media

Target: 4” sq. Plate Glass











JSC-1A as sandblasting media

Target: 4” sq. Plate Glass









JSC-1A and Carbon Fiber





Kevlar Fiber from JSC-1A











Kevlar-Carbon Fiber against JSC-1A

Before

After



Glass against JSC-1A

Questions?