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Cosmogenic nuclide concentrations in lunar surface materials have been used for studies of SCR (solar cosmic rays) and GCR (galactic cosmic rays) histories and of lunar surface dynamics [1]. The radionuclide $^{36}$Cl (half-life=3.01x10^5 years) is produced by both SCR and GCR bombardment [2, 3]. We report here $^{36}$Cl results in lunar surface rock 74275 and in lunar soils with a range of chemical compositions. The $^{36}$Cl results were determined by the University of Rochester tandem accelerator [4].

74275: The cosmogenic nuclides $^{10}$Be, $^{26}$Al, and $^{41}$Ca were measured in lunar rock 74275 (5x12x15 cm) [5, 6]. We received aliquots of this material for $^{36}$Cl and $^{53}$Mn determination. The rock has experienced a two stage GCR bombardment as determined by Eugster [7]. The second stage exposure at the surface of the moon was estimated to have lasted 2.8 My based on cosmic ray tracks [8]. A surface exposure of this duration insures the saturation of $^{36}$Cl in the rock. $^{36}$Cl was measured in 11 samples from the surface to 50 mm (15.8 g/cm^2) depth. Since the samples were sawed rather than ground, the depth intervals are not as precise as those obtained in the 68815 studies [9]. Figure 1 shows $^{36}$Cl activities in the 11 bulk samples from 74275 along with calculated GCR $^{36}$Cl production rates using the Reedy-Arnold model [2] and cross section data which has been modified to fit lunar cores and meteorites [3].

SCR: The observed excess of $^{36}$Cl in the top several g/cm^2 was produced by SCR. SCR production of $^{36}$Cl can be calculated by subtracting theoretical GCR production of the nuclide (Figure 1) [2, 3] from observed activities and is shown in Figure 2. The profile for rock 74275 is very similar to $^{36}$Cl in the undisturbed core 15008 [3]. About 85 % of the SCR produced $^{36}$Cl in 74275 is produced via the Ca(p,x)$^{36}$Cl reaction. The remainder of the $^{36}$Cl is produced from Ti, K, and Fe. Figure 2 also shows the Reedy-Arnold theoretical profile [2] calculated using SCR parameters, $R_0=85$ MV and $J(>10$ MeV)=110 protons/cm^2 s 4$\pi$. These parameters were obtained from measurements of other SCR produced cosmogenic nuclide concentrations in 68815 [10] and have been verified by measurements of $^{26}$Al and $^{41}$Ca in 74275 [5, 6]. This calculated profile falls below the measured SCR $^{36}$Cl activities. A theoretical calculation with 2 times the SCR flux (J=220 protons/cm^2 s 4$\pi$) gives a better fit to the observations. However such a high SCR flux does not fit other cosmogenic nuclides. To properly interpret the SCR profile of $^{36}$Cl, low energy cross sections for the Ca(p,x)$^{36}$Cl reaction must be measured.

GCR: The GCR production rate of $^{36}$Cl in the moon is relatively constant at depths between 20 and 100 g/cm^2. To obtain elemental production rates empirically, we measured $^{36}$Cl in 6 different lunar cores (12028, 15008/7, 15006-5, 60010/9, 74002/1, and 76001) at depths of about 25, 50, and 75 g/cm^2. The target element compositions are widely different in the six cores (K:0.05-0.3 %, Ca:5.3-12.4 %, Ti:0.37-5.3 %, and Fe:2.3-18.1 %). The $^{36}$Cl results are shown in Figure 3. The experimental errors (1$\sigma$) are nearly the same size as the data points. The scatter in this graph is due to the different chemical compositions. Assuming a constant production rate over this depth interval, elemental production ratios can be calculated. The production ratios for K:Ca:Ti:Fe are 5:1:1/4:1/12. The Reedy-Arnold model predicts production ratios of 3:1:1/6:1/15 at similar depths [2, 3]. The $^{36}$Cl production ratio, 12, for Ca/Fe is higher than the value of about 8 which was obtained from meteorites [11]. Since the majority (~90 %) of the $^{36}$Cl in lunar samples is produced from Ca by GCR, the regression coefficient for the Ca production rate can be obtained within a few %. On the other hand, the coefficients for K and Ti contain large errors, ±30-50 % due to the smaller contributions from these elements. The error for Fe is about 10-15 %. Since the Reedy-Arnold calculation fits well for $^{36}$Cl from Fe in meteorites [3], the model must slightly overestimate the Ca(n,x)$^{36}$Cl cross section. Reduction of the Ca(n,x)$^{36}$Cl cross section lowers the calculated production profile. With this change in cross section the Reedy-Arnold calculation would be about 1-2 atoms/kg min lower than the
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observed profiles at the depth 20-100 g/cm². This deficit can be explained by adding a $^{35}$Cl(n,γ)$^{36}$Cl contribution which the Reedy-Arnold model doesn't consider. Figure 4 shows the $^{36}$Cl activities normalized to the Apollo 15 drill core chemical composition using the production ratios K:Ca:Ti:Fe = 5:1:1/4:1/12. The normalized profiles show less scatter but a slight increase in the activity near 50 g/cm². This is the expected profile of the secondary neutrons that induce the dominant Ca(n,x)$^{36}$Cl reactions in the cores.
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Fig. 1. $^{36}$Cl depth profile in 74275

Fig. 2. SCR produced $^{36}$Cl in 74275

Fig. 3. $^{36}$Cl depth profile in 6 lunar cores

Fig. 4. Normalized $^{36}$Cl depth profile