Chang'E-1 and Chang'E-2 Lunar Microwave Radiometer Data Analysis and Lunar Subsurface Temperature Profile Modelling

Weijia Zhang, Neil Bowles

(1) University of Oxford, Department of Physics, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom, zhangw@physics.ox.ac.uk

(2) University of Oxford, Department of Physics, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom, n.bowles1@physics.ox.ac.uk

Introduction

China's first lunar probe CE-1 was successfully launched on Oct. 24th, 2007 in Xichang, and controlled to impact on the lunar surface On March 1, 2009. After that, The Chang'E-2 (CE-2) probe was launched on October 1, 2010. During its operation period, they obtained a large number of valid scientific data from the eight instruments in its scientific payload, including the Microwave Radio Meter (MRM). The MRM is a 4 frequency microwave radiometer, and it is mainly used to detect the brightness temperature (TB) of the lunar surface, to retrieve lunar regolith thickness, temperature, dielectric constant and other related properties. The MRM has 4 channels working at frequencies of 3.0GHz, 7.8GHz, 19.35GHz and 37GHz with lower frequencies typically having deeper penetration. Details of instruments and ground calibrations are described in ref [1,2]. We propose a new microwave transfer model to assist with retrieving lunar heat flow and subsurface temperature structure, and both CE-1 and CE-2 data were analyzed.

Data and Method

First, we made an initial analysis of the available data, summarized in a sequence of 3-dimensional Lunar TB map for all the four CE-1 and CE-2 channels (Figure 1). The penetrating depth is expected to be generally less than 0.5 m at 37.0 GHz, 1.0 m at 19.35 GHz, and 2.0 m at 7.8 GHz, and the 3 GHz frequency channel can penetrate to a depth of 5 m [1]. At 5m, temperature variations were expected to be only related to latitude, mineralogy and underground heat flow. Hence the 3GHz (a) map appears to show less variation. For the other channels differences between the maria and highland can be seen, which is likely to be caused by albedo difference and mineralogy difference. As the maria regions have much higher FeO and TiO_2 content, microwave emission is cannot emminate from a deep as in highland regions. Therefore, maria regions should show slightly higher TB in the day as the signal is mainly from top layers.



Figure 1. Moon's brightness temperature map derived from CE-1(left) and 2's four channel's data.

A radiative transfer forward model has been derived using fluctuation dissipation theorem to assist with analyzing the CE-1 and CE-2 MRM data. This forward model was then used to invert the measured brightness temperatures to generate subsurface temperature profiles. In both forward and inverse cases one-dimensional thermophysical multilayer model was used. The total number of layer is 6 with the deepest layer at 5m, and with more layers in the top 20cm where the temperature changes most rapidly. The forward model calculates the contribution of each depth on the TB of the Moon (at different frequencies) to understand how deep the MRM channels could penetrate. Conversely, the inverse model derives a 'measured' lunar temperature profile based on the observed TB of the Moon, lunar mineralogy from M3 [3] and complex composition parameters of lunar surface. The proposed model details will be shown in the poster. The model was written in MATLAB.

Forward Model and Results

The forward model results are derived, showing a dependency on the mineralogy with two extremes presented here as examples. The value S is defined as the sum of %FeO and %TiO_2. For each location, with its specific S value, the model is used to calculate the contribution from each layer. When S=0, 3GHz signals are mainly from base layer (e.g. very deep penetration, 1m-5m). When s=25, 37GHz signals are mainly from two top layers (e.g. ~10cm).The CE TBs for 3GHz and 7.8GHz are ~234K and 250K, respectively, therefore match well with the presented forward model's prediction.



Figure 2. (Left, S=0) Each layer's temperature's weight (contribution) in the MRM TB measurements, with predicted TB marked on the top; (Right, when S=25) Each layer's temperature's weight (contribution) in the MRM TB measurements.

Inverse Results and Discussion

Finally, we use an S distribution derived from M3 measurements [3] and apply the model for retrieval variations in subsurface temperature. The 1m depth temperature map from 0 to ±30N, lunar nearside, is shown in Figure 3, with a topographic map in Figure 4





Figure 3 (Left). Subsurface temperature of moon at 1m depth.

Figure 4 (Right). Corresponding area's shaded relief map [4].

From Figure 3 and 4 we may notice that, different geological structures do have different subsurface temperatures. KREEP terrene including Procellarum has higher subsurface temperature, while the other regions like Crisium and Tranquillitatis etc show lower temperatures. KREEP basalt has about 300 times more uranium and thorium than chondrites, so this implies that a large portion of Moon's heat-producing ements is located within this single crustal province [5].

References

[1]Wang Z Z et al. (2010) Sci China Earth Sci, 53, 1392- 1406.
[2] Li Y et al. (2010) Sci China Earth Sci, 53 (9): 1379–1391.
[3] Zhang W and N Bowles (2013), Mapping lunar TiO2 and FeO with Chandrayaan-1 M3 data, 44th LPSC abstract.
[4] http://www.lpi.usra.edu/resources/mapcatalog/LMP
[5] M A . Wieczorek and R J. Phillips (2000) J. Geophys. Res, 105, 20417-20430.

Acknowledgments: We thank the instrument teams for collecting the data and performing the necessary calibration and their funding agencies for supporting the experiments in the first instance.