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Introduction/Summary: MESSENGER has acquired stunning images of pitted, light-toned or variegated light/dark terrains located primarily on many of Mercury’s crater floors (Fig. 1) and on some ejecta blankets. Termed “hollows” by the imaging team, the pits have been described as unique in the Solar System. However, these terrains are geomorphologically similar to some on Mars formed by sublimation of ice-rich permafrost (Fig. 2) and to lowland thermokarst on Earth formed by permafrost thaw; to “swiss cheese” terrain forming by sublimation of frozen CO₂ at the Martian South Pole (Fig. 3); and to terrain at Titan’s poles thought to be hydrocarbon thermokarst (Fig. 4). Mercury lacks eolian processes that could erode the pits, and the pits do not possess volcanic characteristics. The most plausible explanation for Mercury’s hollows is terrain degradation involving melting or sublimation of heterogeneous chalcogenide and sulfosalts mineral assemblages. Adapting the term thermokarst, I refer to these Mercurian features as pyrothermokarst; the etymological redundancy distinguishes the conditions and mineral agents from the ice-related features on Earth and Mars, though some of the physical processes may be similar.

Working Hypothesis: Whereas ice has been long suspected and recently was discovered in permanently shadowed craters of Mercury’s polar regions, the hollows occur down to the equator, where neither ice nor sulfur is plausible. In principle, the responsible volatiles must be only slightly volatile in the upper crust of Mercury’s low to middle latitudes at 400-500 K, but they must be capable of either melting or sublimating on geologically long time scales. Cosmochemically plausible substances that either occur in E-chondrites or are consistent with an hypothesized E-chondrite-like Mercury include many phases and mixtures having low melting temperatures and moderate volatility. Under prevailing upper crustal and surface temperatures, chalcophile-rich “permafrost” can undergo either desulfidation or melting reactions that could cause migration or volume changes of the permafrost, and hence lead to collapse and pitting.

I propose the initial emplacement of crater-hosted chalcogenides1, sulfosalts2 and related chalcophile materials such as pnictides3 in impact-melt pools and ejecta blankets (involving solid-liquid fractionation) and associated dry or humid fumaroles (solid-vapor

---

1 Chalcogenides are minerals and compounds made of a chalcogen element—S, Se, or Te—with an electropositive element among the alkalis, alkaline earth metals, transition metals, and/or semi-metals; e.g., pyrite, galena, sphalerite, bornite, astatite, and siderryte).
2 Sulfosalts have chalcogen elements bonded with electropositive metals (such as alkalis, alkaline earths, and transition metals) and semi-metals (especially P, As, Sb, Bi, In, and Sn).
3 Pnictides include binary compounds and minerals containing pnictogens (nitrogen-group elements N, P, As, Sb, Bi).
and liquid-vapor fractionation and recondensation). Key phase equilibria largely occur in the temperature range of Mercury’s surface and shallow subsurface, as I shall show. Vapor-solid, vapor-liquid, and solid-liquid equilibria of the heated materials resulted in migration and loss of volatiles and anatectic liquids, causing collapse pits to form. Seasonal heating near perihelion may have worked together with geothermal flux or early impact heating to have driven off volatiles and produce the pits.

In some cases, local recondensation of moderately volatile sulfides and other minerals (including the elements Cu, Pb, Au, and others) may have occurred on the rims of the pits; the more volatile components (including the elements As, Se, Te, Bi, and others) may have been transported to the polar regions or lost by exospheric escape. The least volatile components, including most transition metals (e.g., Fe, Co, Ni, Zn, and platinum-group elements), are apt to form relatively refractory lugs of sulfides and native metals. Impacts by comets may have caused local oxidation and formation of oxygenated salts and other minerals, whose local recondensation from fumarole gases can explain the light-toned layers and light-toned rims of many pits. Plating of native volatile metals and semimetals may also account for some light-toned deposits.

Large contrasts in thermal conductivity as well as local topography-caused shading influences may result in large differences in element mobility and mineral assemblages. There probably exists strong latitude gradients across the surface and vertical gradients in the upper crust in chalcogen-sulfosalt mineral assemblages. Pyrothermokarst on Mercury may be more chemically heterogeneous and complex in its development than any other thermokarst in the Solar System.