ISOTOPIC ABUNDANCE RATIOS AND CONCENTRATIONS OF SELECTED ELEMENTS IN APOLLO 17 SAMPLES, I.L. Barnes, E.L. Garner, J.W. Gramlich, L.A. Machlan, J.R. Moody, L.J. Moore, T.J. Murphy and W.R. Shields, National Bureau of Standards, Institute for Materials Res., Analytical Chemistry Div., Washington, D.C. 20234

Materials Res., Analytical Chemistry Div., Washington, D.C. 20234
Introduction In previous reports [1,2] we have presented
the results of multielement analyses of samples returned by the
Apollo 14, 15 and 16 missions. We have now extended this work to
include samples from the Apollo 17 mission. The objective was,
as before to obtain concentration and isotopic ratio data for a
variety of elements on the same identical sample so that all
effects of subsampling could be removed and the analytical
results for all elements directly related. The elements determined include lead, uranium, thorium, rubidium, strontium,
potassium and nickel.

Analytical Procedures The detailed analytical procedures have been described [1,2]. The appropriate Standard Reference Material (SRM) was analyzed with each elemental analysis so that the error limits normally associated with the standard analysis are directly applicable. Three or more blanks were prepared and analyzed for each elemental analysis and where deemed appropriate separate isotopic composition blanks were analyzed.

Results The analytical results for the analyses of Pb, U, Th, Rb and Sr are shown in Table 1. Shown are the data for the lead isotopic ratios as measured, corrected for a blank contribution and as corrected for an initial troilite lead of the composition as given by Tatsumoto et al. [3]. Also shown are the elemental concentrations of Pb, U, Th, Rb, Sr and the *7Sr/*6Sr ratio. The model ages calculated from these data are also shown in Table 1.

In Table 2 is shown the data for the isotopic composition and concentration of nickel in these samples. The isotopic composition and concentration of potassium is shown in Table 3. The values determined for the blanks are shown in the respective tables, in all other cases the blanks were so low as to be of no significance.

Discussion The isotopic ratios of rubidium and the more refractory elements uranium and nickel showed no evidence of fractionation and were identical within experimental error with those found for terrestrial materials. The Pb-U-Th model ages for 72501 soil from the South Massif area are nearly concordant at 4460 MY and are nearly identical for those found for 15495 while those for 75081 (Camelot) show extensive reversed discordance $[^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U} > ^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}]$ falling between those found for 64801 and 68501.

As found for all soil samples previously analyzed the Apollo 17 samples show potassium isotopic fractionation of up to 1% of the 39 K/ 41 K ratio. If the mechanism for fractionation of potas-

ISOTOPIC ABUNDANCE RATIOS

Barnes, I. L. et al.

sium involved ionization as a result of temperatures generated by meteoritic impact then it might be expected that, because of the very similar ionization potentials of Rb and K (4.1 and 4.3 eV), similar fractionation would be expected (though to a somewhat lesser degree for Rb due to the higher mass). We have not found any evidence for Rb isotopic fractionation.

If a diffusion mechanism is involved then it becomes even more difficult to explain the fractionation of K and not Rb since, as has been shown by Gibson et al. [4], Rb is at least as volatile as K, if not more so, in these soils. There also is a suggestion in the available data that the fractionation of K is dependent on the geographical location of the sampling site becoming greater nearest the lunar poles. It is possible that the amount of K fractionation may be used to correct for the losses of other volatile elements from a particular sample but much additional work must be done before this may be realized. References

- [1] Barnes, I.L., Carpenter, B.S., Garner, E.L., Gramlich, J.W., Kuehner, E.C., Machlan, L.A., Maienthal, E.J., Moody, J.R., Moore, L.J., Murphy, T.J., Paulsen, P.J., Sappenfield, K.M. and Shields, W.R., (1972), Isotopic Abundance Ratios and Concentrations of Selected Elements in Apollo 14 Samples., Proc. Third Lunar Sci. Conf., Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, Suppl. 3, Vol. 2, pp. 1465-1472, MIT Press.
- [2] Barnes, I.L., E.L. Garner, J.W. Gramlich, L.A. Machlan, J.R. Moody, L.J. Moore, T.J. Murphy and W.R. Shields, (1973), Isotopic Abundance Ratios and Concentrations of Selected Ratios and Concentrations of Selected Elements in Some Apollo 15 and 16 Samples, Proc. Fourth Lunar Sci. Conf., Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, Suppl. 4, Vol. 2, pp. 1197-1207, Pergamon Press.
- [3] Tatsumoto, M., R.J. Knight and C. Allegre, (1973), Science, 180, pp. 1279-1283.
- [4] Gibson, E.K., M.J. Hubbard, H. Wiesmann, B.M. Bansal and G.W. Moore, (1973), How to Lose Rb, K, and Change the K/Rb Ratio: An Experimental Study, Proc. Fourth Lunar Sci. Conf., Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, Suppl. 4, Vol. 2, pp. 1263-1273, Pergamon Press.

SAMPLE ISOTOPIC 72501 (Soi1) Determination 75081 (Soil) Barnes, 208/206 207/206 204/206 0.97221 0.59892 1.0625 0,75141 0.002230 0.008039 208/206 207/206 0.97048 0.59847 1.0583 0.75079 ABUNDANCE 204/206 0.002148 0.007848 36.8285 23.3984 39.7751 208 34.1180 34.6409 38.2411 206 L. Blank (% of Comp. Sample) 0.2326 0.5515 0 0.8002 0.2381 0.8549 Lead 1.9224 4 RATIOS 0.8501 3.1160 Uranium a1 Thorium 207/206 206/238 207/235 208/232 4479 5880

5084

6186 4777

4607

1.252 164.6

0.70042

4604 4518 4587

2.26 137.0

3.79

4.172 154.5

4806

0.70439

Table 2.	Relative Is	sotopic Rat	tios and Conce	entrations	
Sample	58/60	61/60	62/60	64/60	
SRM 986	2.6164 ±0.0026	0.04346 ±0.00043	0.13769 ±0.00034	0.03464 ±0.00035	
72501,37 (Soil)	2.6151	0.04328	0.13780	0.03486	
75081,11 (Soil)	2.6175	0.04336	0.13761	0.03489	
	Co	oncentratio	on (ppm)		
72501,37		322.0 320.9	Ave	Average 321.45	
75081.11		119.3 119.5	Ave	Average 119.4	
Table 3	. Relative	Concentra	tion of Potas	sium	
Sample		39/41 40/41		41	
SRM 985		14.015 ±0.014	0.001845 ±0.000038		
72501,3	7	13.9380	0.001862		
75081,1	1	13,9156	0.00	1829	
	Conce	ntration (ppm)		
72501,3	7 136 136		Average 136	2.55	
75081,1		9.4 9.9	Average 639	9.65	

a	See text for discussion of troilite lead values
Ъ	Units used in age calculations: $\lambda^{235}U = 0.155125 \times 10^{-9} \text{ yrs}^{-1}; \\ \lambda^{235}U = 0.984850 \times 10^{-9} \text{ yrs}^{-1}; \\ \lambda^{232}Th = 0.049475 \times 10^{-9} \text{ yrs}^{-1}; \\ \lambda^{87}Rb = 1.39 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yrs}^{-1}; \\ \lambda^{239}U/^{235}U = 137.88$
d	Normalized to **Sr/**Sr = 0.1194 (*7Sr/**Sr) _I = 0.6990

Pb/U 238/204

232/238

Rb (ppm) Sr (ppm) 87Sr/86Sr

Table 1. Pb-U-Th-Rb-Sr Data on Some Apollo Samples

Raw Data

After Blank Correction

After Troilite Correction^a (Atom %)

Element Concentration

Model Ages^b

Element Ratios

Rubidium Strontium Data

Model Age (m.y.)d

(ppm)

(m.y.)