

ASYMPTOTIC GIANT BRANCH STARS AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON THE ISOTOPIC COMPOSITIONS OF THE TRANSITION ELEMENTS. A. M. Davis¹, R. Gallino², S. Cristallo³, and O. Straniero³. ¹Department of the Geophysical Sciences, Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA. E-mail: a-davis@uchicago.edu. ²Dipartimento di Fisica Generale, Università di Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy. ³INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Collurania, 64100 Teramo, Italy.

The great majority (~90%) of presolar SiC grains likely come from low mass (~2 M_⊙) asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, the site of *s*-process nucleosynthesis. Progressive leaching experiments on carbonaceous chondrites have revealed *s*-process signatures, due to the chemical resistance of SiC [e.g., 1, 2]. With the increasing interest in nucleosynthetic anomalies in the transition elements in bulk meteorites and in leachates of primitive meteorites [e.g., 3–5], as well as in individual presolar SiC grains [6] we examine the predictions of AGB star models for these elements.

The envelope of an AGB star of initially solar composition has C<O in the early stages and condenses silicates and oxides. With repeated thermal pulses with dredge-up from the He inter-shell, the envelope becomes enriched in carbon and *s*-process isotopes. Once the C/O > 1, graphite and carbides begin to condense in stellar winds. In order to compare calculations with leaching residues from meteorites, we constructed a weighted average isotopic composition of graphite and carbides condensing from the envelope, weighting each pulse with C>O by the amount of mass loss following each pulse. This average is dominated by the last pulse with dredge-up, after which about half of the envelope is eventually lost through stellar winds. Isotopic compositions predicted for average graphite and carbides condensed from an AGB star of 2 M_⊙ and initial solar metallicity follow (with cross sections mostly slightly updated from [7], but with important changes for Ni, Cu and Ge): (1) δ(⁴⁶Ti/⁴⁸Ti)=36‰, δ⁴⁷Ti=8‰, δ⁴⁹Ti=96‰, and δ⁵⁰Ti=168‰; (2) δ(⁵⁰Cr/⁵²Cr)=−18‰, δ⁵³Cr=1‰, and δ⁵⁴Cr=72‰; (3) δ(⁵⁴Fe/⁵⁶Fe)=−4‰, δ⁵⁷Fe=54‰, δ⁵⁸Fe=282‰, and ⁶⁰Fe/⁵⁶Fe=2.8×10^{−6}; (4) δ(⁶⁰Ni/⁵⁸Ni)=17‰, δ⁶¹Ni=142‰, δ⁶²Ni=59‰, and δ⁶⁴Ni=450‰; (5) δ(⁶⁵Cu/⁶³Cu)=521‰; (6) δ(⁶⁶Zn/⁶⁴Zn)=270‰, δ⁶⁷Zn=430‰, δ⁶⁸Zn=564‰, and δ⁷⁰Zn=−13‰; (7) δ(⁷¹Ga/⁶⁹Ga)=355‰; (8) δ(⁷²Ge/⁷⁰Ge)=94‰ δ⁷³Ge=40‰ δ⁷⁴Ge=109‰, and δ⁷⁶Ge=−640‰. All *s*-only isotopes below A=90 are dominated by production in the preexplosion evolution of massive stars, but a number of isotopes, including ⁵⁸Fe, ⁶⁴Ni, ⁶⁵Cu, ^{66,67,68}Zn, ^{69,71}Ga, and ^{70,72,73,74}Ge, have some production in AGB stars. Progressing through the sequence of elements listed above, there is increasing overproduction of *s*-process isotopes, but even with ^{70,72,73,74}Ge, only half as much overproduction in AGB stars as is typical for *s*-only isotopes of mainly AGB parentage such as ⁹⁶Mo and ¹³⁴Ba.

The largest predicted anomalies in Fe and Ni are in ⁵⁸Fe and ⁶⁴Ni, isotopes that are often ignored because of low abundances and isobaric interferences. It is of great importance to measure all isotopes to fully understand nucleosynthetic histories.

References: [1] Reisberg L. et al. 2009. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 277:334–344. [2] Dauphas N. et al. 2004. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 226:465–475. [3] Dauphas N. et al. 2008. *The Astrophysical Journal* 686:560–569. [4] Rotaru M. et al. 1992. *Nature* 358:465–470. [5] Qin L. et al. 2009. *Lunar and Planetary Science* 40:#1672. [6] Marhas K. K. et al. 2008. *The Astrophysical Journal* 689, 622–645. [7] Lugaro M. et al. 2003. *The Astrophysical Journal* 593:486–508.