

### SUPERNOVA DUST INJECTION INTO OUR SOLAR SYSTEM: THEN AND NOW

Themis Athanassiadou<sup>1</sup>, Steve Desch<sup>1</sup>, Brian D. Fields<sup>2</sup>, Nicolas Ouellette<sup>3</sup>, and Frank Timmes<sup>1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Space Exploration, Arizona State University. <sup>2</sup>Dept. Of Astronomy, Univ. of Illinois. <sup>3</sup>Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France. Email: tathanas@asu.edu

**Introduction:** Isotopic analyses of chondrules and sulfides in chondrites reveal that the early solar system contained live <sup>60</sup>Fe, at levels  $^{60}\text{Fe} / ^{56}\text{Fe} \sim 3 \times 10^{-7}$  [1]. The source of this short-lived radionuclide ( $t_{1/2} = 2.6$  Myr) is almost certainly one or more supernovae [1]. At question is whether there was a single supernova that injected material into a molecular cloud [2] or into a nearby protoplanetary disk [3,4], or whether multiple supernovae contaminated the Sun's molecular cloud long before it collapsed [5]. Simulations of the interaction of supernova ejecta with protoplanetary disks show that only ~ 1% of the gaseous ejecta intercepted by the disk are injected; if <sup>60</sup>Fe is to enter a nearby disk it must do so in the form of condensed dust. Further work shows these grains must exceed 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter to avoid being deflected around the disk or sputtered [4]. Whether injection of supernova material into a nearby disk can explain the meteoritic abundance of <sup>60</sup>Fe hinges sensitively on the efficiency  $\eta$  with which <sup>60</sup>Fe condenses into large grains.

It is difficult to constrain  $\eta$ , either theoretically or using astronomical observations. Estimates of the mass of dust in high-redshift galaxies imply that core-collapse supernova produce  $> 0.1 M_{\odot}$  of dust each [6], but infrared fluxes from nearby supernovae generally cannot be used to argue directly for more than  $\sim 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$  of dust [7]. In neither case are the *sizes* of supernova dust grains constrained observationally. Fortunately, the solar system acquired <sup>60</sup>Fe from a supernova at least one other time: ferromanganese crusts from the Pacific seafloor contain live <sup>60</sup>Fe, in layers 3 Myr old [8]. This has been corroborated by tentative detection of live <sup>60</sup>Fe in lunar drill cores [9]. The abundance of <sup>60</sup>Fe in these layers, combined with modeling, constrains  $\eta$ .

**Results:** The most likely source for the 3 Myr-old <sup>60</sup>Fe is a core-collapse supernova in the Scorpius-Centaurus OB association, at an approximate distance 100 pc [10]. Simulations show that gaseous supernova ejecta cannot penetrate the inner solar system for supernovae closer than 10 pc, due to the solar wind [11]. Supernova dust grains can reach the Earth, but only if they exceed roughly 0.1  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter [12]. Thus, <sup>60</sup>Fe must enter the Solar System 3 Myr ago in the same form required to enter a protoplanetary disk 4.56 Gyr ago. Using the (lower) lunar drill core <sup>60</sup>Fe abundance to calculate the fluence, assuming a distance of 100 pc, and a *maximum* <sup>60</sup>Fe mass per supernova of  $2 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$  [13], we estimate a lower limit on the efficiency with which <sup>60</sup>Fe condensed into large grains,  $\eta > 0.04$ . This high value implies that injection of <sup>60</sup>Fe into a protoplanetary disk may be consistent with meteoritic abundances.

**References:** [1] Wadhwa M. et al. 2007. in *Protostars and Planets V*, 835. [2] Boss A. et al. 2010. *ApJ* 708, 1268. [3] Ouellette N. et al. 2007. *ApJ* 662, 1268. [4] Ouellette, N., et al., 2010, *ApJ* 711, 597. [5] Gounelle M. et al. 2009, *ApJL*, 694, 1. [6] Morgan H. & Edmunds M. 2003, *MNRAS* 343, 427. [7] Wooden D. et al. 1993, *ApJS*, 8, 477. [8] Knie K. et al. 2004, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 1103. [9] Cook D.L. et al. 2009, *LPSC* 40, 1129. [10] Benitez N. et al. 2002, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 1101 [11] Fields B. et al. 2008, *ApJ* 678, 549. [12] Athanassiadou T. et al. *ApJ*, in revision. [13] Woosley S. & Weaver T. 1995, *ApJS*, 101, 181.