

**THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY OF METEORITES.**

M. Szurgot<sup>1</sup> and T. W. Wojtatowicz<sup>2</sup>. <sup>1</sup>Center of Mathematics and Physics, Technical University of Lodz, Al. Politechniki 11, 90 924 Lodz. E-mail: mszurgot@p.lodz.pl. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Physics, Technical University of Lodz, Wolczanska 219, 93 005 Lodz, Poland.

**Introduction:** Determination of thermal properties of meteorites is important for description of evolution of asteroids and planets. Thermal diffusivity of selected meteorites has been studied by a number of researchers [1-6]. The aim of the paper was to determine and analyze thermal diffusivity of various meteorites at room temperature.

**Methods:** Thermal diffusivity  $D$  of meteorites was measured by the Laser Flash Method at ambient conditions, at 298 K in one atmosphere air. Bulk density of the samples was determined by the Archimedean method. Relative errors of measurements of diffusivity are about 1-6 %, and density about 1 %.

**Results and discussion:** Thermal diffusivity of achondrites is in the range of  $(0.4-2.4) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , chondrites  $(0.5-2.0) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , stony-irons  $(1.3-7.0) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , and octahedrites  $(7-19) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ . Exemplary values of  $D$  are: NWA 4039 (eucrite,  $0.41 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), HaH 286 (eucrite,  $0.93 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Zaklodzie (enstatite achond.,  $1.04 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Allende (CV3,  $0.56 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), NWA 4967 (CO3.2,  $1.00 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), NWA 1609 (H4-6,  $1.08 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), El Hammami (H5,  $1.57 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), DaG 610 (H4,  $1.64 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Thuathe (H4/5,  $2.01 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), NWA869 (L4-6,  $1.03 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Gold Basin (L4,  $1.32 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Vaca Muerta (mesosiderite-A1,  $1.34 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Brahin (pallasite PMG,  $(2.1-6.9) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Campo del Cielo (IAB-MG,  $15.8 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Odessa (IAB-MG,  $12.4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Morasko (IAB-MG,  $(7-19) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), Henrybury (IIIAB,  $10.3 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ), and Gibeon (IVA,  $7.2 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ).

Measurements of twenty meteorites revealed relationship between thermal diffusivity and bulk density of meteorites. Thermal diffusivity is a linear function of bulk density which is expressed by the empirical equation

$$D = A \cdot d + B, \quad (1)$$

where  $D$  is thermal diffusivity ( $10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ),  $d$  ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$ ) is bulk density of meteorites, and coefficients  $A$  and  $B$  are constant for a given temperature ( $A=2.49 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^5 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $B= -7.11 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  at 298 K). Not only our but also literature data confirm validity of eq. (1). Coefficients  $A$  and  $B$  depend on temperature and express effect of temperature on thermal diffusivity. Using values of  $D$  and  $d$  measured for five meteorites (four chondrites and one octahedrite) by Osako [6] enabled us to determine  $A$  and  $B$  values for various temperatures:

$A = 2.37 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^5 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $B = -6.19 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  at 100 K,

$A = 2.11 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^5 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $B = -6.24 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  at 200 K,

$A = 2.10 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^5 \text{ kg}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $B = -6.52 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$  at 300 K.

**Conclusions:** Relationship between thermal diffusivity and bulk density of meteorites has been established that can be applied for evaluation of thermal diffusivities of extraterrestrial matter at various temperatures.

**References:** [1] Szurgot M. et al. 2008. *Crystal Research and Technology* 43:921-930. [2] Opeil C.P. et al. 2010. *Icarus* 208:449-454. [3] Beach M. et al. 2009. *Planetary and Space Science* 57:764-770. [4] Yomogida K. and Matsui T. 1983. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 88:9513-9533. [5] Matsui T. and Osako M. 1979. *Memories of the National Institute of Polar Research* 15: 243-252 (Special Issue). [6] Osako M. 1981. *Bulletin of the National Science Museum Ser. E*, 4:1-8.